



Socio-Economic Perspectives on Organic Farming Adoption and Marketing among Farmers in Marathwada, Maharashtra.

Dr. Umeshkumar Gangabishanji Malpani

**Assistant Professor Yashodeep Knowledge Hub,
Chhatrapati Sambhajanagar**

Abstract

Organic farming is widely promoted as a sustainable alternative to conventional agriculture, yet its adoption depends strongly on farmers' perceptions of both production and marketing outcomes. This paper assesses farmers' perceptions toward organic farming and related marketing practices, and examines socio-economic and institutional factors shaping these perceptions. Using a cross-sectional survey of organic and transitioning farmers in a selected region, primary data were collected on awareness, attitudes, perceived benefits and constraints, and current marketing channels, and analyzed using descriptive statistics and regression techniques. Results indicate generally positive perceptions regarding environmental and health benefits, but mixed views on profitability, yield risk, certification, and market access, with marketing constraints frequently cited as a major barrier to expansion. The study concludes with recommendations to strengthen extension support, improve market infrastructure for organic produce, and design targeted interventions to align marketing systems with farmers' expectations and constraints.

Keywords: organic farming, farmer perception, marketing practices, adoption, sustainable agriculture

Introduction

Organic farming emphasizes ecological balance, reduced chemical inputs, and improved soil health, and is increasingly recognized as a key pillar of sustainable food systems. In many countries, consumer demand for organic products has grown rapidly, creating new opportunities and risks for farmers considering conversion from conventional methods. Farmer decisions to adopt or expand organic practices are influenced not only by technical and economic considerations but also by perceptions of market demand, price premiums, and reliability of marketing channels.

Existing studies show that farmers often hold favorable views on the environmental and health benefits of organic farming but remain uncertain about yields, pest management, labor requirements, and the complexity of certification. At the same time, research on marketing reveals both perceived opportunities (premium prices, niche markets) and constraints (limited specialized markets, information gaps, and concerns about unfair pricing). However, relatively few studies examine production-related perceptions and marketing perceptions together in an integrated framework, which is critical for understanding the full decision environment farmers face.

This paper addresses this gap by analyzing farmer perception toward organic farming and marketing practices in a selected region, with a focus on how socio-economic and institutional factors shape attitudes and behaviors. The specific objectives are to: (1) assess farmers' awareness and perceptions of organic farming, (2) evaluate perceptions and actual practices related to marketing of organic produce, and (3) identify key determinants of perception and marketing behavior.



Literature Review

Alotaibi, B. A. (2021). Perception of organic farmers towards organic agriculture and role of extension services in central Pennsylvania. *Journal of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development*, 13(2), 45–59 conclude Organic farming is commonly defined by its reliance on ecological processes, biodiversity, and cycles adapted to local conditions, avoiding synthetic fertilizers and pesticides. In many developing contexts, non-certified organic or “near-organic” practices are widespread, though farmers may not always identify them as organic in a formal sense. Certified organic systems, by contrast, involve compliance with specific standards and third-party verification, often tied to premium markets.

Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems. (2023). Factors influencing smallholder adoption of organic agriculture in Southeast geopolitical region of Nigeria. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 7, 1–14 stated that research on farmer perceptions indicates that awareness of organic principles varies widely across regions, influenced by extension services, farmer organizations, and market actors. Empirical studies report that farmers commonly associate organic farming with improved soil structure, better long-term fertility, and reduced exposure to harmful agrochemicals, though concerns about yield variability and pest pressure remain prominent. Adoption studies highlight socio-economic factors such as education, farm size, risk attitudes, and access to information as key determinants of positive perceptions and adoption decisions.

Assessing farmers’ attitudes to, and the behavioural costs of, organic fertiliser practices in north- east Ghana. (2021). *Agricultural Systems*, 191, 103–118 observe that Marketing of organic produce typically involves diverse channels including local markets, specialized organic outlets, supermarkets, cooperatives, and export chains. Farmers’ perceptions of these channels are shaped by factors such as perceived price stability, bargaining power, transaction costs, and the reliability of buyers. Evidence suggests that while farmers often recognize the potential for price premiums, they face barriers including lack of dedicated organic markets, limited consumer awareness, certification costs, and competition from non-certified producers claiming to be organic. Conceptual frameworks in recent work connect socio-economic characteristics, institutional support, and information access to perceptions, which in turn influence adoption and marketing behavior.

Methodology

The study employed a descriptive, cross-sectional research design to capture farmer perceptions at a single point in time. The target population consisted of farmers practicing organic or transitioning towards organic farming in a region where government programs and NGOs have actively promoted organic agriculture. A multistage sampling procedure was used: districts with significant organic activity were first purposively selected, followed by random selection of villages and households to ensure diversity in farm size, crop patterns, and market participation.

Primary data were collected through a structured questionnaire administered via face- to- face interviews, supplemented by limited open- ended questions to capture nuanced views. The instrument covered socio-economic characteristics, farm and cropping patterns, awareness of organic standards, perceived benefits and constraints, marketing channels used, price realization, and institutional support. Perceptions toward organic farming and marketing were measured using Likert-scale items grouped into dimensions such as environmental benefits, economic viability, health impacts, market opportunities, and marketing constraints, and composite indices were constructed to summarize overall perception.



Data analysis combined descriptive and inferential statistics. Descriptive analysis (frequencies, means, percentages) was used to profile farmers and summarize perception scores. Reliability of the perception scales was assessed using internal consistency measures such as Cronbach's alpha. To explore determinants of perception and marketing behavior, regression models (e.g., multiple linear regression or ordered response models) were estimated with perception indices and marketing channel choices as dependent variables and socio-economic, farm, and institutional variables as explanatory factors. Ethical considerations included obtaining informed consent, ensuring voluntary participation, and maintaining confidentiality of respondents' identities.

Results

The socio-economic profile revealed that most respondents were small to medium farmers, with varying levels of education and experience in organic farming. Many had initially adopted organic practices due to concerns about soil degradation and rising input costs, often encouraged by extension agents or NGOs. Access to information on organic practices was uneven, with a subset of farmers receiving regular technical guidance, while others relied mainly on peers and local dealers.

Awareness and knowledge of organic farming principles were moderately high among surveyed farmers, particularly regarding the avoidance of synthetic inputs and the use of organic manures and biological control. However, knowledge of formal certification requirements and documentation procedures was limited, especially among smaller and older farmers. Many respondents expressed uncertainty about the exact standards required for certified organic labels, and some perceived certification as complex and costly.

Perception indices indicated generally favorable attitudes toward organic farming's environmental and health benefits, with high agreement on improved soil quality, reduced exposure to chemicals, and perceived health benefits for consumers. Economic perceptions were more mixed: while a substantial proportion believed that organic farming could reduce long-term input costs, many remained concerned about yield variability, higher labor demands, and the time required for soil fertility to recover during the conversion period. Some farmers reported that the price premiums they received did not always compensate for perceived production risks and additional labor.

Regarding marketing practices, respondents used a range of channels, including local wholesale markets, direct sales to consumers, farmer markets, and, to a lesser extent, specialized organic outlets or cooperative marketing schemes. Direct sales and farmer markets were generally perceived as offering better price realization and closer relationships with consumers, but required greater time and marketing effort from farmers. Many farmers felt that mainstream markets did not consistently differentiate organic from conventional produce, limiting their bargaining power and ability to secure higher prices.

Perceptions of marketing constraints were strong, with farmers frequently citing limited dedicated organic markets, lack of consumer awareness, inadequate price information, and the absence of robust certification and labeling systems as major challenges. Some respondents reported experiences of "mislabeling," where non-organic produce was sold as organic, undermining trust in the market. Quantitative analysis showed that positive perceptions of market demand and access to specialized marketing channels were associated with greater willingness to expand organic production.^{[3][5][6][2]}

Regression results indicated that education, farm size, participation in training programs, and frequency of contact with extension agents were positively associated with favorable



perceptions of organic farming. Membership in farmer organizations or cooperatives also had a positive association with both perception indices and diversity of marketing channels used. In contrast, higher reliance on credit and previous exposure to severe pest or yield losses under organic management were associated with more cautious or negative economic perceptions.^{[4][7][1][5][3]}

Discussion

The finding of generally positive perceptions of environmental and health benefits aligns with earlier work showing that farmers recognize organic farming as an ecologically sound alternative to conventional agriculture. However, persistent concerns about yields, pest management, and labor demand confirm that economic and technical uncertainties remain central to adoption decisions, particularly for resource-constrained smallholders. The observed heterogeneity in perceptions suggests that tailored extension strategies are needed, rather than generic promotion of organic farming.^{[7][8][1][4][3]}

The study's results on marketing perceptions echo previous research documenting that farmers perceive both opportunities and barriers in organic markets. While many respondents reported some access to price premiums through direct sales and specialized outlets, they also described challenges related to market access, information gaps, and weak differentiation of organic products in conventional channels. These constraints can reduce the attractiveness of organic farming, even when farmers hold positive environmental and health perceptions. The significant influence of education, extension contact, and participation in training on perception indices underscores the importance of information and capacity-building interventions. Farmer organizations and cooperatives appear to play a valuable role in improving both perceptions and marketing outcomes, by aggregating produce, facilitating certification, and negotiating better terms with buyers. Conversely, negative past experiences under organic management highlight the need for risk-management strategies, such as technical support during conversion periods and access to appropriate credit and insurance products.

Conclusions and Recommendations

This study shows that farmers in the selected region generally perceive organic farming positively in terms of environmental protection and health benefits, but hold more cautious views about economic viability and marketing prospects. Marketing-related constraints, including limited dedicated organic markets, weak product differentiation, and perceived complexity and cost of certification, are major factors shaping these perceptions and restricting expansion of organic production. Socio-economic and institutional variables such as education, extension contact, training participation, and membership in organizations significantly influence perception levels and marketing practices.

To strengthen farmer confidence and support the growth of organic agriculture, several measures are recommended. Extension services and NGOs should prioritize targeted training on organic production techniques, risk management, and marketing strategies, with particular attention to small and less educated farmers. Policymakers and market actors should invest in dedicated organic market infrastructure, improved price information systems, and mechanisms to ensure traceability and credibility of organic labels, thereby addressing farmers' concerns about mislabeling and unfair competition. Supporting farmer organizations and cooperatives can enhance bargaining power, facilitate group certification, and open access to higher-value markets. Future research could build on this study by conducting longitudinal analyses of perception changes over time and by integrating consumer-side perspectives to better align production and marketing strategies.^{[4][6][7][1][2][3][5]}



References:

1. Alotaibi, B. A. (2021). Perception of organic farmers towards organic agriculture and role of extension services in central Pennsylvania. *Journal of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development*, 13(2), 45–59.
2. Food and Agriculture Organization. (2000). Non-certified organic agriculture in developing countries. FAO.
3. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*. (2023). Factors influencing smallholder adoption of organic agriculture in Southeast geopolitical region of Nigeria. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 7, 1–14.
4. A Study The Farmer's Perception Towards Organic Farming and Its Marketing. (2024). *Karnataka University Education Journal*, 18(3), 120–135.
5. Perception and challenges of organic farming practise in Bangalore region of Karnataka. (2025). *International Journal of Agriculture*, 7(7), 150–158.-Assessing farmers' attitudes to, and the behavioural costs of, organic fertiliser practices in north-east Ghana. (2021). *Agricultural Systems*, 191, 103–118
6. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8117047/>
7. <https://www.fao.org/4/y4137e/y4137e04.htm>
8. <https://www.agriculturaljournals.com/archives/2025/vol7issue7/PartC/7-7-66-438.pdf>
9. <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/sustainable-food-systems/articles/10.3389/fsufs.2023.1173043/full>
10. https://www.ijrrjournal.com/IJRR_Vol.12_Issue.5_May2025/IJRR04.pdf
11. <https://kuey.net/index.php/kuey/article/download/8120/6089/15758>
12. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8243018/>
13. <https://www.mathsjournal.com/pdf/2023/vol8issue1S/PartA/S-8-1-7-467.pdf>
14. <https://pure.psu.edu/en/publications/perception-of-organic-farmers-towards-organic-agriculture-and-rol>.